approved for release through
Approved For Release HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM
Approved For Release 2002/06/18nt6M-Represe-06/66/18000100150009-5

Date 3-30-92

## PINCENO THE PACTS: THE ROLL OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

in Address by: Allen W. Delles The Bes England Governor's Conference Beston, Mass. -- 16 Bovenber 1961

weggested that I probably upuld not wish to talk about the work of the Central Intelligence Agency as being too "secret." In turn they proposed that I discuss some phase of our foreign policy.

Tempting as this invitation is, I must dealine it for good and sufficient reasons as I shall explain. On the other hand, I am very glad of this opportunity to disques the objectives of our week in the Control Intelligence Agency, and in particular to extline some of the developments in the Agency during this last year since Constal Redell Smith become Director.

In the Central Intelligence Agency we have a clear mendate so to the scope of our work. We are fact finders not policy makers. Foreign policy is the responsibility of the President and the State Department. Our work, however, has a very definite relation to foreign policy since under the law setting up the Agency and under the directives of the Betienal boundty Commeil we have the task of correlating and evaluating information relating to the metional security as a guide to those who formulate our foreign policies and our defence programs.

In the world of today this is quite enough of a job and we have no inclination to stray into the fields of others.

Let me briefly illustrate the nature of this tests. Simply put, the

This is a TEMPORARY DOCUMENT only, for the use of DCI/HS.

The record copy has been released to National Archives under the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM.

TC CC-163

Approved For Release 2002706/48 : CIA-RDP84-00161R000100150009-5

Agency to the central point in processes for accombling and analyzing information relating to foreign countries whose policies and actions affect our national country. The order of our priority is the order of importance in relation to mational security; information on the Seriet Union and the actallities naturally takes first study.

Intelligence for our purposes is not notely a statistical curvey of the number of divisions, sixplence, or submerizes a potential energy may have. Stalin's state of mint is a more important intelligence item than the location of many fertical divisions. The intentions of the Excellence of state which for our purposes are generally the most important and of course the hardest to according

It is prescribly much easier to know the expektities of the possible entegenist than his immediate intentions. Here is a typical example, then in Reventer 1950 the United Rations Serves draws north in Reventered the Talin, it had not been difficult to assertain the presence of a mose of Chinese forces in Resourcing. The question was whether or not they would nove southward and intervene actively in the Reven war. This was a question of intention — the intention which existed in the minte of a very few men. In this type of situation the best that the intelligence officer can do in to draw a correctly balance short of the evidence, pro and con, and the horsesoil policy nature or field strategist most determine his course. Then sound intelligence is lacking, these who determine policy are like merimers without a charte.

Tour program countities was quite right, of course, in suggesting that cortain phases of our week in the GIA are not enhiest to making

ENI -DH/SH

disclosure. That is also true of many other departments and agencies of government, including in particular the Department of State, the Department of Defense and the Atomic Emergy Commission.

It is perfectly right and proper that we should know that the Department of State is constituted to do, just as it would be highly improper if we expected the Department to tall we all the details of its negotiations; or in the case of the Department of Defense, how it proposes to conduct the defense of the Deited States or its latest achievements in the Stale of search weapons.

It is very much the same with the Central Intelligence Agency. Under the law exacted in 1967 which provided for the unification of the armed services in the Department of Defence, a Central Intelligence Agency was created and placed under the National Focurity Council. The Council, our highest policy making body in planning for national defence, is precided ever by the Procident and includes among its menters the Socretary of State and the Socretary of Defence. The 1967 law entrusted to the Central Intelligence Agency cortain functions which are publicly admitted and publicly processed. It is also provided that certain functions of common concern to various departments and agencies of the government in the general field of intelligence, upon accignment by the National Socurity Council, should be carried out by the Agency. Curtain of those are of a confidential character,

Thus for the first time in our history provision has been made in the American governmental scheme for an Agency to coordinate our intelligence activities. This does not in any way affect the intelligence collecting functions of the Department of State or of the Asimi Pervious, The Agency should see to it, however, that there is no unsequency deplication and that there are no areas of the intelligence field left unevered insector as bonen ingentity can ever them.

This new setup also permits the intelligence product of all of the agentics of government to be analyzed at one central food point where it can be put into a form that will best serve those the most determine our policy in the fields of fereign relations and netional defense. In effect the GIA is a "electing bouse for facts" in the intermedical field. It has no police powers and no functions in the field of intermal security which is largely the job of the F. B. I. Of course if our intelligence work turns up any subversive activities which affect our demoties security, we would pass the story along to the F. B. I.

The Control Intelligence Agency also has the duty to collect certain types of information to supplement that obtained through other departments.

These functions of the CLI are a metter of public implicity. This does not mean that we disalose our nethods and techniques in securing information or in conperating with other government departments in corrying out certain artivities which from a part of our everyll strategy in the Gold Way.

It was the experience galant in two weeks were which showed the most to build an effective control intelligence corvier. During world war II, water the stress of that energoncy, we pulled together professional soldiers and sallers and sizes, businesses, professors, and lanyers, and Jacks of all trades into the Office of Strategie Services. This expendention under Consrel William J. Denovan's dynamic Insulation, gathered intelligence and analyzed it. It also perferred certain elem-destine articlities in aid of the underground forces which were fighting Bariam, Fascism and Japanese militariam in the occupied areas. The G. I. A. has recruited much of the personnel from energy the sen and weren tenined in the O. S. S. and has also drawn heavily on the Arned Services,

In World War II we should that we had in this country the staff out of which an effective intelligence organization could be built. We worked side by side with the British and profited from their long years of experience. I think it is fair to say, however, that before the new was over we were able to held our own with them in many of the fields of intelligence operations.

But even if the experience of two Verld bare had not proved the momenty for a control intelligence agency, the vari couplication of world affairs since the last war would have tought us that we could not got along without its

The intelligence on which a foreign policy or our defence policy can be based in an longer obtainable by the ald methods and techniques largely based on occurational diplemany. Today a solul leader or a nationalist solule source may become more important to our policy than a prime minister, and a extentials development in bestile bands may be more algorithment for our defence than the secret treaty of a potential energy.

Once upon a time it was chiefly economic and territorial expansionies which threatened the peace. Young those are far more subtle trends for the intelligence efficer to engine, such as subversion communicy peacetration and emphasized methodology.

Added to those complications we have to face the fact that the Soviet blee has determined to set itself off from the root of the world. Since the dark ages there mayor has been such a blockwat of information about a great segment of the globe. The named information about the Soviet and its establites - the type of information that they can get about us by reading our daily posses - is surely smallable through the world channels.

This is one of the next deeply disturbing features of the intermational situation today. Way is the Seviet going to this rest toroble
and expense to keep us ignorant of what they are deing; to keep from us
as boot they can the flow of information which over the years one country
has normally had about another for the asking. Their policy in this
regard is stiffening. The well of seasony has been down tighter each
year since the close of the units.

We can only speciate as to the reasons, In it to try to profit from the element of comprises to keep up the facility of tension under which they hope so will break; to get so off on false secretar to keep their pure people in ignorance of the extense world; or to hid their one deficiencies,

Pennikly it is a continution of all these notives plus traditional Russian secretiveness, for the poviet has berround and improved upon the techniques of information blackert interited from the days of Imperial Russian An interesting commentary on the eld Consist policy of coursey is found in the recently published journal of the Marquis de Castino, a distinguished French traveller the visited Reseis in 1839. The author visitely describes the dissiventages at which western diplomets were placed in dealing with Russia of that days

"If botter diplomate are found enoug the baseless them enoug highly divilized peoples," he writes, "it is because our papers were them of everything that happens and everything that is contemplated in our countries, Instead of disguising our weaksnesses with precesse, we reveal them with vulnerance every norming; whereas the Russians' Ryamtine policy, working in the shadow, excelvily conseals from us all that is thought, does and feared in their country. We preced in broad daylight; they advance under covers the game is exe-cided."

This is still true today, but the Eremlin has vantly tightened the controls of the old Rysantian policy. A trip like Custime's of 1839 would be unthinkable those days.

In one respect, however, the forist policy in the information field has estantisly had to relax certain emittels. Today even the people of the soriet expect some information from their riber and in this regard they cannot enfoly be ignored. In many fields, particularly in fereign matters and in everything beyond the ben of the people who are addressed, like our take the place of factor. But when it came to dealing with matters which are known to themsends of foriet citizens, the best the franklin's spokessen can be in a class the factor. For example, from time to time we get devict assumements of their con production figures as they

take pride in comparing these figures with those of Couniet days and oren with their can pre-car production. Of course they are very corolic to give we very granted, and in many cases oxyptic figures, and they do not farmy we publicly with information on to their military production.

The other day Lerrenti Reple, previous number of the Polithers and head of the Secret Police, was shown to make the pronouncement for the Seviet on the Mith anniversary of the Seviet Revalution. The choice of the Seviet Police Send for this remember also-cost rule had no great eignirelisance. Each year, values Stalin binesis decides to make the anniversary speech, we see a different orester from energ the Polithers on so single makes is allowed continuing force over his colleagues.

Beria's cryptic figures were not without interest and I teck occasion to compare his data on Seviet stock, electric power, and potentiam production with the cotinetes of Seviet production which British Belones Majories Shimell had given publishly in a speech same four months ago. Buria's figures were based on a norm recent octinate of Seviet production. With same pride Seria electrol that Seviet production in stock equals that of the four main tenters Resupean produces a Regions, France, Bulgium and Seeden, and in electric power he bearted that the Seviet amounted France and the Bulted Elegium continues.

founded eignificantly both emitted my soference to involves
production in these basic time, and failed to meeting that even on the
basic of his one claims, Seviet steel production is how than emoritized
that of the Tailed States; potentions about concernatily, and absorbts pours
about con-third,

These comparative figures, which reflect everall industrial production, and the war potential of the free notions, are of everas well known to the Kreelin. There is little reason to believe that a Stalin would fall into the errors of a Kitler by leaving these factors out of his calculations. Of course in their our minds the haviet may effect the great industrial superiority of the best by reliance on the fact that they now devote to var purposes a far greater parameters than we of their steel and oil and electric power. This is an advantage which is declining as we turn to reasonament and would drop still further in a long drawn out confilint.

put even if, as Castine writes, the game is one sided, insector as our relative insectors of each other is conserved, despite an econsismal bit of evidence weatherfood as by the Evenlin, this Seviet policy of "blackwet" is likely in the long run to become on them throughout the free world. By execting an apprehension of the unknown, it tends to force the pass of rearmannin, and loads us to increased inventiveness so to be ready to meet at every point an adversary who apparently thinks he has everything to hide. Cortainly Seviet policy challenges the intelligence officer to charges his wite, if we can only upon the Equation theory that delicate provides its reasons in these civilizations which exercise, we in the test should some out of this dilemm with the best intelligence corriers in the world. In any event, the situation calle for all the impossity of an organization such as the GIA which, as our of the major testor, has the job of pulling together the evidence on Seviet capabilities and intentions.

As this evidence is assembled it is subjected to analysis in the CIA itself and evident items are seviewed by an intelligence consisted which is precided over by Comerch Sodell and the Director, of CIA, and includes the representatives of the intelligence services of the Departments of State, of the Army, the Havy and the Air Force, and of certain other governmental agencies. The Consistes meets at frequent and regular intervals to produce their estimates of what the svalighte intelligence shows. These estimates in turn are passed on to the policy making branches of the governments.

In these days of personnial crises in the four earners of the earth the range of policy issues facing the State Department and the Pentagen are not limited to the Soviet bloo, but the most urgent problems, in one way or another, the in to what the Soviet and its satellites can and are likely to do. Even a flare-up in the Middle East takes on significance in proportion to the danger it presents as opening a way for the advance of aggressive communicate.

Estimates an Seviet espabilities must be drawn up as best us can under the conditions of information blackent I have described. Information as intentions presents the greatest difficulty. If one could safely argue from past precedent, a reasonable pattern could be exilined. The difficulty likely the fact that in a system like that of the Examination changes of policy without public debate are always a possibility. This we leaved in 1939 when the Seviet joined hands with mitter,

Footibly the Deviet at times considers us a bit unpredictable.

I have no doubt that the intelligence efficars the adviced the Exembia
of that the United States would do in Europe in June of 1950 full that we

had practiced outright deception on them.

The questions on which our policy mehore would like to have guidence from their intelligence advisors are summrous and varied. Pers are some that are typical:

- (1) Do the Seviet evidence any intention of precipitating a general wer and under that conditions? This requires analysis of the relative strength of the Seviet world and of the Free Herid; how we appraise the Seviet views of our own millinguage to resist. We know that they realize their present superiority in ground forces and probable numerical advantage in air strength and that they might have local seconders in Europe or in Asia. But just how do they view the great industrial superiority of the free nations, their advantage in access to row materials and advance in atomic meapons. All of these and a host of other questions enter into any appraisal of the likelihood of Seviet military action.
- (2) If the UNSE does not resert to direct military action, will they continue to rely on the testies of infiltration and subversion and the use of satellite forces, and where, in the world, are they likely to direct their main efforts with these sold war weapons.
- (3) How much hope does the Seviet still place in the collapse of the Capitalist system, loudly and insistently predicted for many years. Mill it be their policy to drive us forward on the path of resonance, which they cannot relich, in the hope that we will break our economic backer or will the four of our rearmament load them to adopt a peace offensive often predicted but not yet clearly evidents for to put it monther way, is the four of our rearmament a more potent influence on

Soviet policy than their hope that the amment race may prove diseastsons to our economy?

(h) Will the Seviet view EATO resmement, including the resmement of Germany, as purely defensive in character as we view and delare it, or will they, at some point in the process of this reassument, consider that the measure is too great to be telerated?

I also those questions so typical of the vital issues of the day. They are issues upon which our policy nature are entitled to a manure of factual guidence from the intelligence agencies. It is the daty of intelligence to fill in the jigour puzzle as the reports come in day by day in order to show where there is a solid foundation of fact as a basis for policy.

Obviously here existifie exertness is not possible. We can only take the experience of the part plus the impact of all knowable current late of evidence and then sold a constanton. An open sind and a willing-mess to eccept new constantes where the facts impose than are absolutely essential. Stubbous projetion is fatal. My intelligence officer who is untilling to view new facts with an open sind despite first convictions bred out of past experience is unflace in our type of work. At the same time the intelligence officer must always keep in sind that he is not making palicy; that is up to others. In the proporation of his estimates he is a fact finder, Once he exceeds that rule he becomes untless as an intelligence officer.

Just as projudice has so place in intelligence, seither has politice. Facts are unither Republican mer Democratic. And if the fearless reporting of the facts to the policy makers is colored with the projudice pro or

con of existing policies of government or of any political party, the intelligence has lost its integrity and its usefulness. From my experience in the Central Intelligence agoncy under Central Indelligent in the Fortist in political procures have over influenced its action, the selection of its percental or its forthright analysis of the syldence as we see it.

In addition to propering actinates for long range policy guidence, CIA in conjunction with the other intelligence agencies has the problem of dealing with emergency situations. Here Pearl Harbor tength we many lessons.

It that time there was considerable evidence of Japanese intentions in the possession of various government agancies. If all this had been quickly assembled in one place and insodiately considered by the competent intelligence efficers of the government, the conclusions might well have given the correct answer as to where the Japanese attack would take place. It is unrealistic and unfair to place the burden of factual analysis of masses of evidence solely upon the ever-burdened policy making officers of government, any one of when may have the time to study only a fragmentary part of all the evidence swallable. These officers are entitled to have some of this work does for them and by these who should be as for an possible freed from the grinding tank of meeting daily, sametimes heavily, calls for policy decision and artisms.

There has therefore been organised under the angle of CIA, through the Countition that I mentioned shows, a medicalem for almost instant analysis of cricis situations. All svalights data flows to a single point, These competent to deal with it can be called together at a missate's notice, The data to enalyzed and the results of that analyzed are placed before the policy nature.

Obelously as long as people are hann and are areatures of projeties there is no hundred per cent assurance of perfect results but at locat now we have the mechanism to collect the evidence and persons demod competent in their profession, and breadly representative of the intelligence capabilities of the government, are available to pass upon its This is a real step forward from the situation that existed just ten years ago when Poarl Parbor was in the making.

If we are to have an adequate intelligence in time of origin, we must propore in time of peace. We have now periodally termed to this took of building that our military loaders agree in considering a first line of defence. We are getting over the old habit of polegating intelligence to a secondary position or of confusing it with more intrigue and the more lurid side of explanage. It is a sorious and honorable must occumulate our security.

onterprise. In the CIA we depend nightily on enterior aid, to have hope in the United States, in our universities, among our professional man and estantiate, in our labor unions with verification connections, in our productions with verification connections, is our grant business enterprises, a vest store of vital information. We count improdult of this talent to come to Varhington, much us we chould like it. House, we came, as miscionaries, to ask your unioretuning of the problem. Along we will be calling upon many of you in your various activities and professions to make a contribution to the sun total of our busiledge, tills your belly we can better present the facts on which to build a policy for security and peace.

HS/IIC- 163